

# A NON-LEVI BRANCHING RULE IN TERMS OF LITTELMANN PATHS

BASED ON A TALK BY JACINTA TORRES

## 1. THE BRANCHING PROBLEM

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $\text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g})$  a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Theorem** (Weyl). *If  $V$  is a finite dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there exist uniquely determined nonnegative integers  $m_\sigma$  such that*

$$V \simeq \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{g})} \sigma^{m_\sigma}.$$

Note that Weyl's theorem is an analogue of Mashke's theorem from representation theory of finite groups.

Let  $\mathfrak{l}$  be a semisimple Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The branching problem is to find, for an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the decomposition of  $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{g}} V$ . A similar problem in the case of the embedding  $\mathbb{S}_m \subseteq \mathbb{S}_n$ ,  $m \leq n$ , has a well-known solution, namely

$$\text{res}_{\mathbb{S}_m}^{\mathbb{S}_n} V(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu} V(\mu)^{m(\lambda, \mu)},$$

where  $m(\lambda, \mu)$  is the number of paths from  $\mu$  to  $\lambda$  in the Young lattice.

## 2. NOTATION FOR LIE ALGEBRAS

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{h}$  a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For example, if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  is the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices with trace 0, then  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the subspace of the diagonal  $n \times n$  matrices with trace 0.

For  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , let

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha := \{g \in \mathfrak{g} : [h, g] = \alpha(h)g, \text{ for each } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ . By  $R$  we denote the set of  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  such that  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0$ . We call the elements of  $R$  the roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We also denote by  $\Lambda$  a (chosen) set of simple roots. It is known that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

which is called the root space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

---

*Date:* 14.01.2020.

For example, if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , then

$$R = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : i \neq j\},$$

where  $\varepsilon_i(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = a_i$ , and

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = \mathbb{C}E_{i,j}.$$

Moreover, as  $\Lambda$  we may choose the set consisting of  $\alpha_i := \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

We call  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  an integral dominant weight, if  $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle := \frac{2(\mu, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$  is a nonnegative integer, for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . The cone spanned by the integral dominant weights is called the fundamental Weyl chamber. It is known that the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  correspond bijectively to the integral dominant weights. If  $\lambda$  is an integral dominant weight, then we denote the corresponding representation by  $L(\lambda)$ .

It is known that if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , then the integral dominant weights correspond to the partitions with at most  $n-1$  part, where the bijection is given by the formula

$$(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mapsto a_1\varepsilon_1 + a_2\varepsilon_2 + \dots + a_{n-1}\varepsilon_{n-1}.$$

Let  $L$  be an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , we put

$$L_\mu := \{l \in L : h \cdot l = \mu(h)l, \text{ for each } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

If  $\text{weight}(L)$  is the set of  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  such that  $L_\mu \neq 0$ , then

$$L = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \text{weight}(L)} L_\mu$$

and we call it the weight decomposition of  $L$ .

### 3. LITTELMANN PATHMODEL

Let  $L$  be an irreducible representation of a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By a Littelmann pathmodel of  $L$  we mean a set  $P(L)$  of paths  $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) if  $\mu \in \text{weight}(L)$ , then the number of  $\eta \in P(L)$  with  $\eta(1) = \mu$  equals  $\dim L_\mu$ ;
- (2)  $\eta(0) = 0$ , for each  $\eta \in P(L)$ ,
- (3)  $P(L)$  is generated by a single path by applying certain operations.

If  $L = L(\lambda)$ , then we write  $P(\lambda)$  instead of  $P(L(\lambda))$ .

We present a construction of  $P(\lambda)$  in the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\lambda$  is a partition with at most  $n-1$  part. Let  $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$  be the set of Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  filled with letters  $1, 2, \dots, n$  in a nondecreasing order in each row and in a increasing order in each column. For  $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$  we denote by  $w(T)$  the word obtained from  $T$  by reading each column

(starting from the right) from the top to the bottom. For example, if  $T$  is the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ & 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

of shape  $(3, 2)$ , then  $w(T) = 22312$ . For  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\pi_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  be given by  $\pi_i(t) := t\varepsilon_i$ . If  $w(T) = w_1 \cdots w_s$ , then

$$\pi_T := \pi_{w_1} * \cdots * \pi_{w_s}.$$

Finally,

$$P(\lambda) := \{\pi_T : T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)\}.$$

The following two theorems due to Littelmann are a sample of the strength of this model. First, a generalized Littlewood–Richardson rule says that

$$L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu) = \bigoplus_{\eta} L(\eta(1)),$$

where  $\eta$  runs through the paths of the form  $\nu * \pi$  with  $\nu \in P(\mu)$  and  $\eta \in P(\lambda)$ , which are contained in the fundamental Weyl chamber. Next, a Levi branching rule states that if  $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$ , then

$$\text{res}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\Delta'}}^{\mathfrak{g}} L(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\eta} L^{\Delta'}(\eta(1)),$$

where  $\eta$  runs through the paths in  $P(\lambda)$ , which are dominant for  $\mathfrak{g}^{\Delta'}$ .

#### 4. THE MAIN RESULT

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\sigma$  be the automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by folding the Dynkin diagram of type  $A_{2n-1}$ . The set  $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$  of fixed points of  $\sigma$  is a semisimple Lie algebra isomorphic to a symplectic Lie algebra. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{h}^{\sigma}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$  and the restrictions of the simple roots form a set of simple roots for  $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$ .

For a partition  $\lambda$  and  $\pi \in P(\lambda)$  we denote by  $\text{res}(\pi)$  the path given by restricting  $\pi$  to  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ . Let  $\text{domres}(\lambda)$  be the set of such restrictions, which are contained in the Weyl fundamental chamber for  $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$  (with respect to the given set of simple roots). The main result of the talk is the following.

**Theorem** (Schumann, Torres). *In the above situation*

$$\text{res}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}}^{\mathfrak{g}} L(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{domres}(\lambda)} L^{\sigma}(\eta(1)).$$