

Generic extensions of (quiver) representations

based on the talk by Markus Reineke (Wuppertal)

January 24, 2002

Let k be an algebraically closed field and Q a Dynkin quiver. We denote by I the set of vertices of Q .

Lemma. *Let M and N be representations of Q . There exists a unique representation $M * N$ such that $M * N \leq_{\text{deg}} X$ if and only if there exist a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow X \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$ with $M \leq_{\text{deg}} M'$ and $N \leq_{\text{deg}} N'$.*

Lemma. *If L , M and N are representations of Q then $(L * M) * N \simeq L * (M * N)$.*

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \xi_2 & & 0 & \\
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \xi_1 & 0 & \rightarrow & N & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & 0 & \rightarrow & N & \rightarrow & (L * M) * N & \rightarrow & L * M & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & L & & = & & L & & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & 0 & & & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

From ξ_1 it follows that $M * N \leq_{\text{deg}} X$, hence using ξ_2 we get $L * (M * N) \leq_{\text{deg}} (L * M) * N$. Similarly, we show $(L * M) * N \leq_{\text{deg}} L * (M * N)$, and the claim follows. \square

We define \mathcal{M} to be the set of all isoclasses of representations of Q . If we define multiplication in \mathcal{M} by $[M] * [N] := [M * N]$, then we obtain in \mathcal{M} a structure of monoid, called the monoid of generic extensions of Q .

Theorem. We have $\mathcal{M} \simeq \langle I \rangle / (ij = ji, \text{ if there is no edge between } i \text{ and } j, \text{ } iji = iij \text{ and } jij = ij j, \text{ if there is an arrow } i \rightarrow j)$. The isomorphism is given by the assignment $E_i \mapsto i$.

Recall that the Serre relation in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ is $E_i E_j - 2E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i = 0$. The above relation can be “quantized” as follows $E_i^2 E_j - (q+1)E_i E_j E_i + qE_j E_i^2 = 0$. For $q = 0$ we get $E_i^2 E_j = E_i E_j E_i$. The above “quantized” relation appears in the non-twisted Hall algebra, that is in a Hall algebra with multiplication defined as $u_M u_N := \sum_X F_{MN}^X(q) u_X$.

Let U_1, \dots, U_ν be a list of indecomposable representations of Q such that $\text{Ext}^1(U_i, U_j) = 0$ for $i \leq j$. If $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\nu U_i^{m_i}$ then $[M] = [U_1]^{*m_1} * \dots * [U_\nu]^{*m_\nu}$. We have also the following result by Bongartz. Assume $\text{Ext}^1(U \oplus V, U \oplus V) \simeq \text{Ext}^1(U, V)$. There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow Y \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $Y \leq_{\text{deg}} U \oplus V$. In particular, $U * V$ has no selfextensions. Indeed, since $\text{Ext}^1(X, X) = 0$ for each indecomposable representation X of Q , we only need to show $\text{Ext}^1(X_i, \bigoplus_{j \neq i} X_j) = 0$ for each i , where $U * V = \bigoplus_i X_i$ is a decomposition of $U * V$ into a direct sum of indecomposable representations. Let

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \neq i} X_j \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

be an exact sequence. Then $Y \leq_{\text{deg}} U * V \leq_{\text{deg}} U \oplus V$. By the result of Bongartz, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow Y \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$, hence $U * V \leq_{\text{deg}} Y$, and consequently $Y \simeq U * V$, thus the sequence $(*)$ splits. Using the above observations we may formulate the following algorithm for calculation of $M * N$.

Let $M = \bigoplus U_i^{m_i}$ and $N = \bigoplus U_i^{n_i}$. Then $[M] * [N] = [U_1]^{*m_1} \dots [U_\nu]^{*m_\nu} * [U_1]^{*n_1} * \dots * [U_\nu]^{*n_\nu}$. If $i < j$ then $[U_j] * [U_i] = [U_i]^{*a_i} * \dots * [U_j]^{*a_j}$ for some a_i, \dots, a_j which can be read of from the Auslander–Reiten-quiver of Q . Repeated application of this rule brings $[M] * [N]$ to the form $[U_1]^{*x_1} * \dots * [U_\nu]^{*x_\nu}$. Then $M * N \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^\nu U_i^{x_i}$.

Lemma. Let U be an indecomposable representation of Q . If M is a representation of Q and $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow U^n \rightarrow 0$ is a universal extension, where $n := \dim \text{Ext}^1(U, M)$, then $X \simeq U^n * M$. Moreover, if $\text{Ext}^1(M, U) = 0$ then $[U]^{*n} * [M] * [U] = [U]^{*(n+1)} * [M]$.

Let A be an algebra of finite representation type. We may defined generic extensions in the following way. If M and N are A -modules then there exists a unique module $M * N$ which is an extensions of M by N and $\dim \text{End}(M \oplus N)$ has a minimal dimension among all extension of M by N . However, in general we do not have an equality $(L * M) * N = L * (M * N)$ in this case. Thus we may consider $\mathcal{M}(A)$ as the free associative monoid generated by

all isoclasses of A -modules modulo the ideal generated by all elements of the form $[M][N] - [M * N]$. For example, if A is the path algebra of the bounded quiver

$$1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3, \quad \beta\alpha = 0,$$

then $\mathcal{M}(A) \simeq \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle / (13 = 31, 112 = 121, 212 = 122, 312 = 123, 123 = 231, 223 = 232, 323 = 233)$. There is a question what is a connection between $\mathcal{M}(A)$ and the representation theory of A .

Let $A = k[[T]]$, thus in other words we consider the nilpotent representations of a one-loop quiver. It is known that the representations of A are parameterized by partitions. The theory of geometry of nilpotent orbits gives $M_\lambda * M_\mu = M_{\lambda+\mu}$, thus (\mathcal{A}) is a free commutative monoid in $[M_{(1, \dots, 1)}]$.

The monoid of generic extensions of nilpotent representations of $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ has been studied by Deng and Du (?). There is also a question what happens for poset representations.

Note, that we may treat the Dynkin quivers of types \mathbb{B} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} , as the corresponding Dynkin quivers of type \mathbb{A} , \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{E} with automorphism. For example, the quiver of type \mathbb{B}_n may be viewed as the quiver of type \mathbb{D}_{n+1} with automorphism identifying two vertices. Let (Q, γ) be a quiver with an automorphism. We define $\mathcal{M}(Q, \gamma)$ to be the submonoid of $\mathcal{M}(Q)$ consisting of γ -invariant representations of Q .

Let Q be a quiver of infinite representation type. We define \mathcal{M}_d to be a family of all irreducible closed G_d stable subsets of R_d . In $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{N}I} \mathcal{M}_d$, we may define $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B} := \{X \in R_{d+e} \mid \text{there exists a short sequence } 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0, A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ for $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}_d$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{M}_e$. We call \mathcal{M} a monoid of families of representations of Q . We consider the submonoid \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{M} spanned by $R_i = \{E_i\}$, $i \in I$. If Q is Dynkin then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{M} coincides with the previous definition.

Theorem. \mathcal{C} is a quotient of $\langle I \rangle / (\langle i^{n+1}j = i^nji, ij^{n+1} = ijj^n \text{ if there is no arrow from } j \text{ to } i \text{ and there is } n \text{ arrows from } i \text{ to } j \rangle)$.

Let $\omega = i_1 \cdots i_\nu$ be a word in I and $\mathcal{E}_\omega := R_{i_1} * \cdots * R_{i_\nu}$. Then \mathcal{E}_ω is the set of all modules having composition series of type ω , i.e. $M \in \mathcal{E}_\omega$ if and only if $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_\nu = 0$ with $M_{k-1}/M_k \simeq E_k$. The answer to the question when $\mathcal{E}_\omega = \mathcal{E}_{\omega'}$ is encoded in \mathcal{C} . Applying Schofield's theory of "general properties of representations" we also have that $R_d * R_e = R_{d+e}$ if and only if $\langle e', d \rangle \geq 0$ whenever $R_{e'} * R_{e-e'} = R_e$.