

REPRESENTATION DIMENSION AND RADICALS

BASED ON THE TALK BY TIMO ROSNAU

The talk was based on the paper *On the representation dimension of finite dimensional algebras* by Changchang Xi.

DEFINITION.

Let V and M be modules over a finite dimensional algebra A . A homomorphism $f : X \rightarrow M$ is called a right $\text{add } V$ -approximation of M if $X \in \text{add } V$ and $\text{Hom}_A(Y, f)$ is surjective for each $Y \in \text{add } V$.

LEMMA.

If V and M are modules over a finite dimensional algebra A , then there exists a right $\text{add } V$ -approximation of M . Moreover, if $A \in \text{add } V$, then each right $\text{add } V$ -approximation is surjective.

LEMMA (AUSLANDER).

Let V be a generator-cogenerator of $\text{mod } A$ for a finite dimension algebra A and $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then $\text{gl. dim } \text{End}_A(V)^{\text{op}} \leq m + 2$ if and only if for each indecomposable A -module M there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_m \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that $X_0, \dots, X_m \in \text{add } V$ and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, X_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, X_m) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for each $X \in \text{add } V$.

THEOREM.

Let A be a finite dimensional algebra, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\tau_\Lambda^n = 0$, and $B := A/\tau_\Lambda^{n-1}$. If $I/\tau_\Lambda^{n-1}I \in \text{add}(B \oplus DB)$ for each injective A -module I , then

$$\text{rep. dim } A \leq \max(3, \text{rep. dim } B + 1).$$

ASSUMPTIONS.

Let $m = \text{rep. dim } B$. It is known that $m < \infty$. If $m \leq 2$, then the result is known, thus we may assume $m \geq 3$. Choose a generator-cogenerator U of $\text{mod } B$ such that $\text{gl. dim } \text{End}_B(U)^{\text{op}} = m$. Let $V := A \oplus DA \oplus U$.

LEMMA.

Let $I \in \text{add } DA$ and M be an indecomposable A -module. If either $M \in \text{mod } B$ or $M \notin \text{add } DA$, then $\text{Hom}_A(p_I, M)$ is an isomorphism, where $p_I : I \rightarrow I/\tau_\Lambda^{n-1}I$ is the canonical projection.

PROOF.

If $\mathfrak{r}_\Lambda^{n-1}I = 0$, then the claim is obvious, thus assume $\mathfrak{r}_\Lambda^{n-1}I \neq 0$. Then $\mathfrak{r}_\Lambda^{n-1}I = \text{soc } I$ is simple. Moreover, our assumptions imply there are no monomorphism $I \rightarrow M$, hence $\mathfrak{r}_\Lambda^{n-1}I$ is contained in the kernel of every homomorphism $I \rightarrow M$, hence the claim follows.

LEMMA.

If M is an indecomposable B -module, then there exists there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{m-2} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that $X_0, \dots, X_{m-2} \in \text{add } U$ and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, X_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, X_{m-2}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for each $X \in \text{add } V$.

PROOF.

By Auslander's Lemma there exists a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{m-2} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that $X_0, \dots, X_{m-2} \in \text{add } U$ and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, X_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, X_{m-2}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for each $X \in \text{add } U$. It remains to show that the above sequence is exact for each $X \in \text{add } V$. We may obviously assume that X is indecomposable. If either $X \in \text{add } U$ or $X \in \text{add } A$, then the claim is clear. Thus assume that $X \in \text{add } DA$. The previous lemma implies that it is enough to show that the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X/\mathfrak{r}_\Lambda^n X, X_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X/\mathfrak{r}_\Lambda^n X, X_{m-2}) \\ \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X/\mathfrak{r}_\Lambda^n X, M) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact. However, this follows since $X/\mathfrak{r}_\Lambda^n X \in \text{add}(B \oplus DB) \subset \text{add } U$.

PROOF (OF THEOREM).

We show that $\text{gl. dim } \text{End}_A(V)^{\text{op}} \leq m+1$ using Auslander's Lemma, i.e. for each indecomposable A -module M we construct an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{m-1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that $X_0, \dots, X_{m-1} \in \text{add } V$ and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, X_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, X_{m-1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for each $X \in \text{add } V$. Obviously, we may assume that $M \notin \text{add } V$. Let $M' := \{m \in M \mid \mathfrak{r}_\Lambda^{n-1}m = 0\}$. Observe that $M' \in \text{mod } B$. Let $l : X_m \rightarrow M'$ be a right $\text{add } U$ -approximation of M' , $g : P \rightarrow M/M'$ be the A -projective cover of M/M' , $h : P \rightarrow M$ be a lift of g , and $f := [il, h] : X_m \oplus P \rightarrow M$, where $i : M' \rightarrow M$ is the canonical injection. One easily checks that f is surjective and $\text{Ker } f \in \text{mod } B$. Thus it follows from the above lemma that in order to finish the proof

it suffices to show that $\text{Hom}_A(X, f)$ is surjective for each $X \in \text{add } V$. This is clear for $X \in \text{add } A$. On the other hand, if $X \in \text{add } U$, then $\text{Hom}_A(X, il)$ is surjective, since $\text{Hom}_A(X, i)$ is an isomorphism, thus the claim also follows in this case. It remains to consider the case $X \in \text{add } DA$. Again, it suffices to show that $\text{Hom}_A(X, il)$ is surjective. Since $X_m \in \text{mod } B$ and M is indecomposable and not in $\text{add } DA$, this is equivalent to surjectivity of $\text{Hom}_A(X/\tau_\Lambda^{n-1}X, il)$, which follows, since $X/\tau_\Lambda^{n-1}X \in \text{add}(B \oplus DB) \subset \text{add } U$.