

# COMPLEXITY AND REPRESENTATION DIMENSION

BASED ON THE TALK BY ROLF FARNSTEINER

ASSUMPTION.

Throughout the talk  $\Lambda$  is the group algebra of a finite group  $G$  over a field  $k$ .

NOTATION.

Let  $A := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{2n}(G, k)$ . Recall that  $H^n(G, k) := \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(\Omega^n(k), k)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$  we define  $\Phi_M : A \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^*(M, M)$  by  $\Phi_M(f) := \underline{f} \otimes \text{Id}_M$ . Recall that  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(M, N) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(\Omega^n(M), N)$  for all  $M, N \in \text{mod } \Lambda$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ .

REMARK.

Observe that  $A$  is a noetherian  $k$ -algebra. Furthermore, Friedlander and Suslin proved that if  $M, N \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ , then the actions of  $A$  on  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^*(M, N)$  induced by  $\Phi_M$  and  $\Phi_N$  coincide, and  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^*(M, N)$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module.

DEFINITION.

If  $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ , then we define the support variety  $\mathcal{V}(M)$  of  $M$  as the zero set  $Z(\text{Ker } \Phi_M)$  of  $\text{Ker } \Phi_M$ . The complexity  $\text{cx}(M)$  of  $M$  equals by definition  $\dim \mathcal{V}(M)$ .

DEFINITION.

If  $\zeta \in H^n(G, k)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the Carlson module  $L_{\zeta}$  equals by definition  $\text{Ker } \hat{\zeta}$  for a representative  $\hat{\zeta}$  of  $\zeta$  in  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Omega^n(k), k)$ .

THEOREM.

If  $\zeta \in H^{2n}(G, k)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ , then  $\mathcal{V}(M \otimes_k L_{\zeta}) = \mathcal{V}(M) \cap Z(\zeta)$ , where  $Z(\zeta)$  is the zero set of  $\zeta$ .

NOTATION.

If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are full subcategories of  $\underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda$ , then  $\mathcal{C} * \mathcal{D}$  denotes the additive subcategory of  $\underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda$  generated by  $M \in \underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda$  such that there exists a distinguished triangle  $C \rightarrow M \rightarrow D \rightarrow C[1]$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ .

LEMMA (ROUQUIER).

Let  $H_1, \dots, H_r : \underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  be cohomological functors. For each  $i \in [1, r-1]$ , let  $f_i : H_i \rightarrow H_{i+1}$  be a natural transformation. If  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{r-1}$  are full subcategories of  $\underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda$  closed under shifts such that

for all  $i \in [1, r-1]$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}_i$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(f_i)_{C[j]} = 0$  for each  $j \geq n$ , then for each  $X \in \mathcal{C}_{r-1} * \cdots * \mathcal{C}_1$  there exists  $m$  such that  $(f_{r-1} \cdots f_1)_{X[j]} = 0$  for each  $j \gg m$ .

NOTATION.

If  $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$ , then

$$\langle M \rangle_d := \underbrace{\text{add } M * \cdots * \text{add } M}_{d \text{ times}}.$$

LEMMA.

Let  $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ . If there exists a chain of morphisms

$$M = K_r \xrightarrow{g_{r-1}} K_{r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K_2 \xrightarrow{g_1} K_1$$

such that  $\underline{g_{r-1}} \cdots \underline{g_1} \neq 0$ ,  $\text{cx}(K_1) = 1$ , and  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^j(g_i, M) = 0$  for all  $i \in [1, r-1]$  and  $j \gg 0$ , then  $K_1 \notin \langle M \rangle_{r-1}$ .

PROOF.

Let  $H_i := \underline{\text{Hom}}_\Lambda(K_i, -)$  for  $i \in [1, r]$  and  $f_i := \underline{\text{Hom}}(g_i, -)$  for  $i \in [1, r-1]$ . The last condition implies that  $(f_i)_{M[j]} = 0$  for all  $i \in [1, r-1]$  and  $j \gg 0$ . Since  $\text{cx}(K_1) = 1$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$  such that  $K_1 \simeq \Omega^{-n}(K_1)$ . Then  $(f_{r-1} \cdots f_1)_{X[nj]} \neq 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_+$ , thus  $K_1 \notin \langle M \rangle_{r-1}$  according to the previous lemma.

PROPOSITION.

If  $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ , then  $\langle M \rangle_{\text{cx}(M)-1} \neq \underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda$ .

PROOF.

We define  $K_1, \dots, K_r$  satisfying the assumptions of the above lemma inductively as follows. Obviously  $K_r := M$ . If  $i \in [2, r]$  and  $K_i$  is defined, then we choose homogeneous  $\zeta \in A$  such that  $\xi$  is not a zero-divisor of  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M \oplus K_i, M \oplus K_i)$  and  $\Phi_{M \oplus K_i}(\zeta) : \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(M \oplus K_i, M \oplus K_i) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{n+|\zeta|}(M \oplus K_i, M \oplus K_i)$  is injective for all  $n \gg 0$ . Then we put  $K_{i-1} := \Omega^{-1}(K_i \otimes L_\zeta)$  and one shows that we can apply the previous lemma.

DEFINITION.

We put

$$\dim \underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda := \min\{d \in \mathbb{N} \mid \langle M \rangle_{d+1} = \underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda \text{ for some } M \in \text{mod } \Lambda\}.$$

THEOREM.

$$\dim \underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda \geq \text{cx}(\Lambda / \text{rad } \Lambda) - 1.$$

PROOF.

Let  $d = \dim \underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda$ . If  $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$  is such that  $\langle M \rangle_{d+1} = \underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda$ , then  $d \geq \text{cx}(M) - 1$  according to the previous lemma. On the other hand,  $\text{cx}(M) \geq \text{cx}(\Lambda / \text{rad } \Lambda)$ , and the claim follows.

COROLLARY.

$$\text{rep. dim } \Lambda \geq \text{cx}(\Lambda / \text{rad } \Lambda) + 1.$$

REMARK.

The above theorems can be extended to selfinjective algebras with finite cohomology. A selfinjective algebra  $\Lambda$  has finite cohomology if there exists a noetherian algebra  $A$  together with a ring homomorphism  $\Phi_M : A \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, M)$  for each  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$ , such that for all  $M, N \in \text{mod } \Lambda$  the  $A$ -module structures on  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, N)$  induced by  $\Phi_M$  and  $\Phi_N$  coincide and  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, N)$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module.